

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION N |
|--|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| 10/081,354 02/21/2002 | Terry Baiko | PALM-3750 | 9763 |
| 7590 05/17/2004 | | EXAMINER CHANG, YEAN HSI | |
| WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP Third Floor | | | |
| Two North Market Street San Jose, CA 95113 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 541 303C, CA 33113 | | 2835 | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 05/17/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Applicati n No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | 10/081,354 | BAIKO ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Yean-Hsi Chang | 2835 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communicati n ap Period for Reply | pears n the c ver sheet with th | correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for | e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. |
| Status | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A | nril 2004 | |
| 0 \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ | s action is non-final. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | noo expent for formal and the | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | Ex parts Outputs 4005 O.B. 44 | prosecution as to the merits is |
| Disposition of Claims | -x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, | 453 O.G. 213. |
| | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13,15-24 and 26-29</u> is/are pending | in the application. | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | wn from consideration. | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-24 and 26-29</u> is/are allowed. | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 10-13</u> is/are rejected. | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-9</u> is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 February 2000 is/ | r. >\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 February 2002 is/are | : a)⊠ accepted or b)∐ object | ted to by the Examiner. |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | on is required if the drawing(s) is o | bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Offic | e Action or form PTO-152. |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 25 LLC C 9 440/ | - 1 (1) |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | priority under 33 0.3.C. § 119(8 | a)-(a) or (f). |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received in Applica | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori | ty documents have be as | tion No |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau | (BCT Dula 47 0(1)) | ed in this National Stage |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | of the continue of the continue of | |
| and distinct office action for a list of | in the certified copies not receiv | ed. |
| | | |
| ttachmout/s) (| | |
| ttachment(s) | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary | / (PTO-413) |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F | ate Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | |
| Patent and Trademark Office | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/081,354

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 10-11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Amano et al. (US 6,126,595).

Amano teaches an impact protection device (144, fig. 41) having a first interface (near the watch shown in fig. 41; not labeled) configured to conform to a surface of a digital wrist watch portable computer device (shown in fig. 33, not labeled) and a second interface (145, fig. 41) configured to receive a peripheral component (130, fig. 41), the impact protection device comprised of an impact absorbing material (see col. 33, lines 21-25) to protect the peripheral component from damage when the peripheral component is coupled to the portable computer device (claims 1 and 10-11); wherein the portable computer is a PDA (an inherent feature of a computer) (claim 13).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/081,354 Page 3

Art Unit: 2835

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amano et al. in view of Hayek et al. (US 6,224,254 B1).

Amano discloses the claimed invention except the portable computer is a cellular telephone and has a slot for receiving the peripheral component card.

Hayek teaches a wrist watch (1, fig. 2a) being a cellular telephone (see col. 2, lines 11-15) having a slot (411, fig. 3b) for receiving a peripheral component card (10, fig. 3b).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Amano with the wrist watch taught by Hayek for expanding the functionality of the device.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 15-24 and 26-29 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 3-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,354

Art Unit: 2835

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The best prior art of record, Amano et al. (US 6,126,595), Hayek et al. (US 6,224,254 B1), White et al. (US 6,532,152 B1), Hanson et al. (US 2003/0071791 A1), Ito (US 2003/0186570 A1), and Cheng (US 2003/0148664 A1), taken alone or in combination, fails to teach or reasonably suggest an impact protection device comprising: a first interface configured to conform to a surface of a digital wrist watch portable computer device having a slot for receiving a peripheral component card, wherein the peripheral component card is a security digital input/output (SDIO) card as set forth in claim 3; or an interface being adapted to receive a portion of peripheral component protruded outside a wrist watch portable computer as set forth in claims 15 and 26. Claims 4-9, 16-24, and 27-29 are dependent claims from claims 3, 15, and 26, respectively.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/081,354

Art Unit: 2835

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Correspondence

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yean-Hsi Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-2038. The examiner can normally be reached on 07:30-16:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Art Unit phone number is (571) 272-2800, ext. 35. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3431 for regular communications and for After Final communications. There are RightFax numbers and provide the fax sender with an auto-reply fax verifying receipt by the USPTO: Before-Final (703-872-9318) and After-Final (703-872-9319).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-8558.

Yean-Hsi Chang Patent Examiner Art Unit: 2835 May 11, 2004

Mens Hickary